arXiv:1110.1269v1 [math.GM] 5 Oct 2011

About new inverse formulas of the transformation of Laplace

A. V. Pavlov-Maxorin
Moscow,MIREA, cybernetics, higher mathematics-1,e-mail: login11@umail.ru

The article is concerning a functions Z(x) represented in the form of the transformation of Laplace LZ(x). For the wide class of the functions S(t) it is proved, that sinus-transformation applied to cosines-transformation applied to LL(t) is equals to cS(t),c=const.

Keywords: transformation of Laplace , inverse formula

1. INTRODUCTION .

In the article we consider a functions ,[1] :

$$T(t) = LS(x) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-tx} S(x)dx, t \in [0, \infty),$$

where

$$\int\limits_0^\infty |S(x)|dx < \infty, (1.1)$$

and $S(0+) = 0$.

The first result of the article is an equality

$$LF_{-}LF_{+}K(s) = (2\pi)^2 K(-s),\ s \in (0, \infty), LF_{+}K(ix) = 2\pi K(s), s \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$F_{\pm}Z(t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pm itx} Z(t)dt,$$

if the $K(p)$ function can be written in the form

$$K(p) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-ps} ds \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-siv} S(v) dv Re\, p \geq 0,$$

if for$S(v)$ is valid (1.1) , if $S(0) = 0$, and the $dS(v)/dv$ exist for all $v \in [0, \infty)$, (the theorems 1 and 3).

The second main result is the quick new inverse formula of Laplace‘s transformation :

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \sin st dt \int\limits_0^\infty \cos xt dx LT(t) = S(s), s \in (0, \infty).$$

(The traditional conditions of the formula can be found ,for instance , in [(Lavrentiev,Shabat),1]).

2. SOME THEORETICAL THEOREMS .

We use the designation

$$r(s) = LZ(x) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-sx} Z(x)dx, s \in [0, \infty),$$

Theorem 1.

$$\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-st}dt \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixt} K(ix)dx \equiv 2\pi K(s), \text{ for all } s \in (0, \infty),$$

if the function $K(p)$ can be represented as

$$K(is) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-six} Z(x)dx, \text{ for all } s \in (-\infty, \infty), \tag{2.1}$$

where the function K(p) is regular in $D_1 = \{p : Re\, p \geq 0\}$, and

$$\int\limits_0^\infty |Z(x)|dx < \infty.$$

Proof.

From (2.1) and the formula of Fourier's transformation we have

$$2\pi Z(s) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixs} K(ix)dx = \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixs} \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-xit} Z(t)dt \equiv Z(s)I(s), \; s \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where $I(s) = 1, s > 0, \; I(s) = 0, s \leq 0$.

Now, from the definition of the regular function $K(is)$ we have the theorem 1 :

$$K(is) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-isx} Z(x)dx = (1/2\pi) \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-isx} \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixs} K(ix)dx,$$

and

$$2\pi \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-px} Z(x)dx = 2\pi K(p) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-px} \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixs} K(ix)dx, p = r \in (0, \infty).$$

The theorem 1 is proved.

Lemma 1

$$K_{\pm}(p)=\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{-pt}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{\pm ivt}S(v)dv\equiv(\mp 1/i)\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{\mp ipt}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{-tv}S(v)dv=k_{\pm}(p),$$

$p=x\in(0,\infty)$, if

$$\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}|S(v)|dv<\infty.$$

Proof.

From the condition (1.1) for the function $S(v)$ with help of the ordinary methods [(Fihtengoltz,v.2),6] we can to change the limits of integration in $K_{\pm}(x)$ and

$$K_{\pm}(x)=\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{-xt}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{\pm ivt}S(v)dv=\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}S(v)[-1/(-x\pm iv)]dv,$$

where

$$K_{\pm}(x)=\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}S(v)[-1/(\mp i)(\mp ix-v)]dv=(1/\mp i)\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}S(v)dv\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt.$$

To change the limits of integration in the last integrals we use so ordinary methods (see,for instance,[(Kaminin),7]) ; (we give the proof of the fact in additional part of the article).

Now, we have

$$K_{\pm}(x)=(1/\pm i)\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}S(v)e^{-vt}dv,x\in(0,\infty).$$

The lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2

If

$$\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}|S(v)|dv<\infty,S(0)=0,$$

and the $dS(t)/dt$ exists for all $v\in[0,\infty)$, we have

1.

The functions $K_{-}(p)=k_{-}(p)$ from the lemma 1 are regular in the domain $D_{-}=\{-\pi/2\leq arg\,p\leq\pi\}$, and continuous on the boundary $\{p:arg\,p=-\pi/2\bigcup arg\,p=\pi\}$; the functions $K_{+}(p)=k_{+}(p)$ from the lemma 1 are regular in the domain $D_{+}=\{-\pi\leq arg\,p\leq\pi/2\}$, and continuous on the boundary $\{p:arg\,p=-\pi\bigcup arg\,p=\pi/2\}$,

(We can omit the condition S(0)=0, but the special proof of the fact is from the matter of the article and will be considered in the next publication.)

2.

For the functions $Z_1^{\pm}(x) = \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{\pm ivx} S(v)dv$ and $Z_2(x) = \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{-tv} S(v)dv$, the condition (1.1) is valid :

$$\int\limits_0^{\infty} |Z_j(x)| dx < \infty, j = 1, 2.$$

Proof.

First of all, we prove the continuation of the function $K_{\pm}(p)$ on the boundary of $D_1 = \{p : -\pi/2 < arg\, p < \pi/2\}$, and the continuation of the functions $k_+(p)$ and $k_-(p)$ on the boundary of domains $D_3 = \{p : -\pi < arg\, p < 0\}$ and $D_2 = \{p : 0 < arg\, p < \pi\}$.

We use an equalities

$$S(0) = 0, \sup_{p: Re\, p \geq 0} \int\limits_N^{\infty} e^{-pt} dt \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{ivt} S(v)dv = \sup_{p: Re\, p \geq 0} \int\limits_N^{\infty} e^{-pt} [(S^{‘}(0)/t^2) +$$

$$dt \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{ivt} S^{“}(v)dv/t^2 \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\leq \int\limits_N^{\infty} [(S^{‘}(0)/t^2) + \int\limits_0^{\infty} |S^{“}(v)| dv/t^2] dt \to 0, N \to \infty;$$

and we obtain,that

$$\lim_{p \to si} K(p) = \lim_{p \to si} k(p) = K(is) = \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{-ist} dt \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{ivt} S(v)dv, s \in [0, \infty).$$

The condition (1.1) for the internal integrals of considered functions it follows as above from $S(0) = 0$ and $Z_j(x) \leq c_j/x^2, c_j = const., x \to \infty, j = 1, 2$.

Let‘s prove $K_{\pm}(p) = k_{\pm}(p)$ in the considered domain.

From the lemma 1 $k_{\pm}(p) = K_{\pm}(p)$, for all the $p : p = s, s \in (0, \infty)$.

The functions $K_-(p), K_+(p)$ are obviously regular in the domain $D_1 = \{p : -\pi/2 < arg\, p < \pi/2\}$, and the functions $k_-(p), k_+(p)$ are obviously regular: 1. in the domain $D_2 = \{p : 0 < arg\, p < \pi\}$, 2. in the domain $D_3 = \{p : -\pi < arg\, p < 0\}$, (it follows from the obvious existence of the derivation of $K_{\pm}(p)$ and $k_{\pm}(p)$ in the domains).

Let‘s consider $K_+(p)$. If $K_+(p) = k_+(p), p = s \in (0, \infty)$, and

$$\lim_{p \to s} k_+(p) = (-1/i) \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{-ist} dt \int\limits_0^{\infty} e^{-tv} S(v)dv = k_+(s), s \in [0, \infty),$$

the lemma 1 for $K_+(p)$, is proved. It follows from the existence of the analytical continuations of $k_+(p), K_+(p)$ from the domain $D_* = \{p : -\pi/2 < arg\, p < 0\}$, (where the both functions are regular) , to the domain $\{p : 0 < arg\, p < \pi/2\}$, where the analytical continuation of $K_+(p)$ exists as the same function $K_+(p)$ determined and regular on $D_* \subset D_1$,; the analytical continuation of $k_+(p)$ is ,**first**, the function $k_{an1}(p) = k_+(p)\ p \in D_*$, **second** $k_{an2}(p) = K_+(p), p \in D_{**} = D_1 \backslash D_*$, where

$$\lim_{p \to s: Im\, p<0} k_{an1}(p) = \lim_{p \to s: Im\, p<0} k_+(p) =$$

$$= (-1/i) \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-ist} dt \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-tv} S(v) dv = K_+(p) =$$

$$= \lim_{p \to s: Im\, p>0} K_+(p) = \lim_{p \to s: Im\, p>0} k_{an2}(s),\ s \in (0, \infty),$$

and we have $k_+(p) = k_{an1}(p) = k_{an2}(p), p \in D_* \bigcup D_{**} = D_1$, with the help of well-known theorem [(Lavrentiev,Shabat),1] about the analytical continuation from the boundary $p = s \in (0, \infty)$ of the two functions $k_{an1}(p), k_{an2}(p)$: $k_{an1}(s) = k_{an2}(s), s \in (0, \infty)$.

We obtain $k_+(p) = K_+(p), p \in D_1$.

Now, the $k_+(p)-$function is regular in D_3, continuous by lemma 1 on the second boundary $p : p = -s, s \in [0, \infty)$, and $k_+(p) = K_+(p), p \in D_1, D_1 \bigcap D_3 = D_* = \{p : -\pi/2 < arg\, p < 0.\}$ For the function $K_+(p)$ the lemma 2 is proved. For the $K_-(p)$-function the lemma 2 are proved in the same way.

Remark.

$K(-s) = K_+(-s) = -\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-sx} dx \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-vxi} S(v) dv = -K_-(s),\ s \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof.

By lemma 2 and the definition of $k_\pm(s)$

$$K(-s) = K_+(-s) = k_+(-s) = (-1/i) \int\limits_0^\infty e^{+isx} dx \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-vx} S(v) dv = -k_-(s),\ s \in (0, \infty).$$

The same values on real axis by lemma 2 are equal to $-k_-(s) = -K_-(s), s \in [0, \infty)$.

Now, we can proof the theorem 2.

Let

$$LK(x) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-xu} K(x) dx, u \in (0, \infty), F_- Z(x) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-ixu} Z(x) dx, u \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

$$F_+ Z(x) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{+ixu} Z(x) dx, u \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

(We write simple $K(p)$ instead of $K_+(p)$.)

Theorem 2.

Let $K(p) \equiv K_+(p)$. In the conditions of the lemma 2

1.

$$LF_+K(x) = 2\pi \int\limits_0^\infty e^{ist} Z(t)dt = 2\pi K(-is), s \in (0, \infty),$$

or

$$\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-st}dt \int\limits_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ixt}K(x)dx \equiv 2\pi K(-si), s \in (0, \infty),$$

where

$$Z(x) = Z_1^+(x) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{+ivt}S(v)dv, x \in (0, \infty), Z(x) = 0, x \in (-\infty, 0).$$

2.

$$LF_-K(-ix) = 2\pi K(s), s \in (0, \infty),$$

Proof.

From the lemma 1 and lemma 2

$$K(p) = k_+(p) = (-1/i) \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-ipt}dt \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-tv}S(v)dv \; p \in D_+ = \{-\pi \leq arg\, p \leq \pi/2\},$$

and from the lemma 2 the function is regular in D_+. Therefore, for the function $\kappa(p) = k_+(p/i), p \in D_- = \{-\pi/2 \leq arg\, p \leq \pi\}$. are regular in D_-, $D_1 \subset D_-$, the conditions of the theorem 1 are holds and $LF_+\kappa(ix) = \kappa(x), x \in (0, \infty)$. With help $\kappa(ix) = k_+(x) = K(x)$, $\kappa(x) = k_+(-ix), x \in (0, \infty)$, the equality $LF_+K(x) = 2\pi K(-is), s \in (0, \infty)$, is proved.

From the definition of $K(-is), -is \in D_+, s \in (0, \infty)$, in lemma 1 we have

$$2\pi K(-is) = 2\pi K_+(-is) = \int\limits_0^\infty e^{ist}dt \int\limits_0^\infty e^{+ivt}S(v)dv = 2\pi \int\limits_0^\infty e^{ist}Z(x)dx, , s \in (0, \infty).$$

The part 1 is proved.

The prove of the part 2 we repeats the prove of the theorem 1 with the changing the signs in operators F_+ and in $\int\limits_0^\infty e^{-ist}Z(x)dx$ on F_- and $\int\limits_0^\infty e^{+ist}Z(x)dx$; (we use,that in conditions of theorem 1 $F_+F_-Z(x) \equiv F_-F_+Z(x)$ for all the real values of arguments).

From the theorem 1 and 2 we have the theorem 3.

Theorem 3.

In the conditions of the lemma 2

1.

$$LF_+LF_+K(is) = (2\pi)^2K(-is), \; s \in (0, \infty),$$

or

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st}dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt}dx \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-xu}du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ivu}K(iv)dv \equiv (2\pi)^2 K(-is), s \in (0,\infty),$$

2.

$$LF_{-}LF_{+}K(s) = (2\pi)^2 K(s),\ \ s \in (0,\infty),$$

or

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st}dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt}dx \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-xu}du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ivu}K(v)dv \equiv (2\pi)^2 K(s), s \in (0,\infty),$$

Proof.

The part 1 of theorem 3 it follows from the theorem 1 and the part 1 of the theorem 2.

The part 2 of the theorem 3 it follows from the part 1 and part 2 of the theorem 2.

3. THE QUICK INVERSE FORMULA FOR THE TRANSFORMATION OF LAPLACE.

In the section we use the designation $K(p) = K_{+}(p)$.

The quick inverse formula of the transformation of Laplace it follows from the second main result of the article - the theorem 4 .To prove it we need a propositions 1,2,3.

Proposition 1 . In the condition of the lemma 1 without the condition $S(0) = 0$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin \epsilon t dt \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\pm itv} S(v)dv = 0.$$

With help of the above methods we can prove the proposition 2.

Proposition 2. In the conditions of lemma 2 we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x)|dx < \infty, \tag{3.2}$$

(the condition (1.1) for the function $S(v) = K(iv)$ will be considered in future publications.)

(In the conditions of lemma 2 with

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} S(v)dv = 0, \int_{0}^{\infty} vS(v)dv < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

with help of the formula of integration by parts the (3.2)- formula can be proved and it is holds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x)|dx < \infty, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(ix)|dx < \infty,$$

but in the article we do‘t consider the proofs.)

Proposition 3 . In the condition of lemma 2 $K_{\pm}(0) = K(0) = 0$.

Theorem 4 .

In the conditions of the lemmas 1-2

$$(\pm 1/i)F_{-}LF_{+}K(x) = 2\pi K(x),\ x \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof.

From the theorem 3 and the definition of $K(p)$ we have

$$LF_{-}LF_{+}K(s) = (2\pi)^2 K(s) = L\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{ivt}S(v)dv,\ s \in (0, \infty).$$

To use the usual inverse formula of transformation of Laplace [(Lavrentiev,Shabat),1] and omit the operator $\mathcal{L}$, first of all,we check the existence of $\frac{d\mathcal{F}_{-}LF_{+}K(s)}{dt}, t \in (0, \infty)$.

To prove $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_{-}LF_{+}K(s)|dt < \infty$, with help of the part 1)-a) of theorem 2 (or from the definition of $K(-ix)$), we use

$$F_{-}LF_{+}K(s) = F_{-}K(-ix) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixt}dx \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{ixu}Z(u)du = 2\pi Z(t), t \in (0, \infty),$$

(by lemma 2 from the condition (1.1) for $Z(x) = Z_1^{+}(x)$ the inverse formula for the Fourier‘s transformation is valid). And from the definitions of $Z(t)$ in part 1)-a) of theorem 2 we obtain

$$Z(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{+ivt}S(v)dv, x \in (0, \infty), Z(x) = 0, x \in (-\infty, 0).$$

The function has $dZ^{(n)}(t)/dt^{(n)}, t \in (0, \infty)$, for the all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

From the condition (1.1) for the $S(v)-$function it is obviously $Z(t) \to 0$, as $t \to \infty$, and,by usual inverse formula of transformation of Laplace [(Lavrentiev,Shabat),1,p.466,theorem 2], we obtain, that $\mathcal{L}$ operator mast be omitted and

$$F_{-}LF_{+}K(s) = (2\pi)^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{+ivt}S(v)dv,\ s \in (0, \infty).$$

In the future publications it will be proved

Theorem 5.

In the conditions of lemma 2 for the regular in the complex plane without the finite sets of non-regular points the function $S(p)$ we have

1.

$$LL(S(v)) = 2\int\limits_0^\infty \sin st dt \int\limits_0^\infty \cos xt S(x)dx, s \in (0,\infty),$$

2.

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \sin st dt \int\limits_0^\infty \cos xt S(x)dx = cIm\,\sigma(p), Re\,\sigma(p) = S(p), p = s \in (0,\infty).$$

ADDITIONAL PART.

To prove

$$K_{\pm}(x) = (1/\mp i)\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)dv \int\limits_0^\infty e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt = (1/\mp i)\int\limits_0^\infty e^{ixt}dt \int\limits_0^\infty e^{-vt}S(v)dv, x \in (0,\infty)$$

$, x \neq 0$, we use the two facts :

the first,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)dv \int\limits_N^\infty e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt = \lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_0^{1/\sqrt{N}} S(v)dv \int\limits_N^\infty e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt +$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_{1/\sqrt{N}}^\infty S(v)dv \int\limits_N^\infty e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt \leq$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\max_{v\in(0,1/\sqrt{N})}(|[-e^{(\mp ix-v)N}/(\mp i)(\mp ix-v)]| \int\limits_0^{1/\sqrt{N}} |S(v)|dv +$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_{1/\sqrt{N}}^\infty S(v)dv \int\limits_N^\infty e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt \leq$$

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}[(1/x)\int\limits_0^{1/\sqrt{N}} |S(v)|dv + \int\limits_{1/\sqrt{N}}^\infty |S(v)||e^{(\mp ix-v)N}/(\mp ix-v)|dv] =$$

$$o(1) + \lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_{1/\sqrt{N}}^\infty |S(v)|dve^{-N/\sqrt{N}}/xdv = 0, x \in (0,\infty);$$

the secondary,

$$\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)dv\int\limits_0^N e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt=\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_0^R S(v)dv\int\limits_0^N e^{(\mp ix-v)t}dt=\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_0^R S(v)e^{-vt}dv=$$

$$=\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv-\lim_{R\to\infty}\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_R^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv=\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv,$$

where we use,that the limited integral exists, and

$$\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_R^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv\le\int\limits_0^N e^{-Rt}dt\int\limits_R^\infty |S(v)|dv\to 0,\ R\to\infty.$$

Finely,from the existence of the limit in the definition of $K_\pm(x)$ we have the existence of the finite limit

$$K_\pm(x)=(1/\mp i)\lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_0^N e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv=(1/\pm i)\int\limits_0^\infty e^{\mp ixt}dt\int\limits_0^\infty S(v)e^{-vt}dv.$$

REFERENCES

[1]. Lavrentiev M.A, Shabat B.V. Methods of the theory of the functions of complex variables. Moscow :Edd.Science.,1987.-688 p.

[2]. Pavlov A.V. The stochastic series of Fourier and its application to the theory of the filtering-prognosis . Moscow : the Ed. of Moscow State Univers.nam.M.V.Lomonosov, Mechanical-mathemat.f-t,2000,-64 p. ISBN 5-93839-002-8.

[3]. Kolmogorov A.N.,Fomin C.V. The elements of the functions theory and the functional analysis.(In russian) Moscow : Edd.Science,1976.-544 p.

[4]. Pavlov A.V. The stochastic series of Fourier and its application.The theory of filtering-prognosis. Moscow :Edd.of Moscow Instit.of Radiotechn.,Electr.and Automatic. (Techn.Univers.) 2000.-59 p.,ISBN 5-7339-0248-5.

[5]. Pavlov A.V Asymptotically optimal service disciplines under heavy traffic conditions.(In russian). Moscow,in journ. Theory probability . and apply . 1990, 35, 4, 716-726.

[6].Fihtengoltz G.M. The course of differential and integral calculus. Moscow,Nauka, 1969, v.II, -800 p.

[7]. Kaminin L.I. The course of mathematical analysis, v.2, - Moscow : Ed. of Moscow Univers. nam.M.V.Lomonosov. 1995, v. II. - 624 p.